

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,142	03/10/2004	Shinji Makishima	043118-0142	2053
	22428 7590 07/18/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/796,142	MAKISHIMA, SHINJI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Benjamin E. Lanier	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,9 and 13 is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-8 and 10-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from considerat	ion.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	. 1(s)					
1) X Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species I in the reply filed on 05 July 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 4, 5, 9, 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 05 July 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 10-12 are drawn to a computer program in general. "Functional descriptive material consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component." (MPEP 2106). When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said setting information" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kadowaki, U.S. Patent No. 6,313,921. Referring to claims 1, 6, 10, Kadowaki discloses a print job personalization system wherein a personalization server receives a user ID and password of the user that issued the print job (Col. 18, lines 38-44). The personalization server authenticates the user based upon the ID and password (Col. 18, lines 58-66), which meets the limitation of a user authentication section that acquires ID information to identify each user from among a plurality of users, and performs user authentication based on said ID information. After the user has been authenticated, the personalization server extracts the personalizing information of that user, such as number of print sheets, available function list of the current user, font data of the current user, cover sheet image data of the current user, and form image data of the current user (Col. 18, line 64 Col. 19, line 9), which meets the limitation of a setting information acquisition

section that acquires information for image formation associated with said users authentication by said user authentication section. This personalization information is used by the printer to complete the print job for the current user (Col. 19, lines 9-13), which meets the limitation of an image data creation section that creates image data for images to be formed on sheets of paper based on said setting information acquired by said setting information acquisition section.

Referring to claims 2, 7, 11, Kadowaki discloses that after the user has been authenticated, the personalization server extracts the personalizing information of that user, such as font data of the current user (Col. 19, lines 7-8), which meets the limitation of said image data creation section changes, based on said setting information, characters contained in said images.

Referring to claims 3, 8, 12, Kadowaki discloses that the personalization information includes a limit on the number of sheets that can be printed for the current user (Col. 19, lines 6-7), which meets the limitation of a restriction processing section that restricts prescribed processing for image formation based on said setting information acquired by said setting information acquisition section.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E. Lanier whose telephone number is 571-272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,142

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Benjamin)E.

E. Lanier